

EXHIBIT A

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION: CIVIL PART
ATLANTIC COUNTY
DOCKET NO.: ATL-L-2648-15
A.D. # _____

IN RE: JOHNSON AND JOHNSON) TRANSCRIPT
TALCUM-BASED POWDER) OF
PRODUCTS LITIGATION) MOTION
)

Place: Atlantic County Civil Crt.
1201 Bacharach Blvd.
Atlantic City, NJ 08401

Date: April 10, 2024
MORNING SESSION

BEFORE:

HONORABLE JOHN C. PORTO, J.S.C. AND
RUKHSANAH L. SINGH, U.S.M.J.

TRANSCRIPT ORDERED BY:

SEAN GARRETT, ESQ., (Faegre Drinker)

APPEARANCES:

JEFFREY M. POLLOCK, ESQ., AND
MICHAEL SABO, ESQ., (Fox Rothschild, L.L.P.)
Attorneys for Plaintiff

ANDY BIRCHFIELD, ESQ., (Beasley Allen)
Attorney for Plaintiff

*(Appearances continued)

Transcriber: Nitsa Carrozza
PHOENIX TRANSCRIPTION
796 Macopin Road
West Milford, NJ 07480
(862) 248-0670

Audio Recorded
Recording Opr: Jennifer Walker

APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

STEVE BRODY, ESQ., (O'Melveny & Myers, L.L.P.)
Attorney for J&J and L.T.L. Mngt.

ERIK HAAS, ESQ., (Johnson & Johnson W.W.V.P.L.)
Attorney for Johnson & Johnson

I N D E X

	<u>PAGE</u>
Colloquy/Housekeeping.....	4,136

MOTION TO DISQUALIFY:

<u>WITNESSES FOR</u>	<u>DIRECT</u>	<u>CROSS</u>	<u>REDIRECT</u>	<u>RECROSS</u>
<u>THE DEFENDANT:</u>				
J. Conlan	6			

1 knew because that's what we're here for, right, and
2 what he conveyed to Andy Birchfield, Beasley Allen.
3 And I would like, respectfully, to be focused on that
4 issue. Otherwise, we're going to be here all day
5 reading time sheets that I haven't seen.

6 THE COURT: Mr. Brody, any thoughts?

7 MR. BRODY: (indiscernible)

8 THE COURT: I know what you're doing. I
9 mean, Judge Singh and I both know what you're doing.
10 You're saying, you knew this, this, this and this. I
11 got it. And then, he did something else with it, with
12 someone else, perhaps, all right? How much more time
13 do you need with regard to, because I think the
14 testimony is clear, again, not making any credibility
15 findings.

16 There's privileged information, had to do
17 with bankruptcy, had to do with restructuring, had to
18 do with J and J, had to do with Imerys. When are we
19 going to get the segue, the bridge so-to-speak, with
20 regard to whatever else happened with that information?

21 MR. BRODY: We're going to get the bridge in
22 about 20 minutes, I hope.

23 THE COURT: Okay, all right.

24 MR. BRODY: But Your Honor, I do think these
25 things are important and it's important to -- I mean,

1 it's 1,600 of work for which Mr. Conlan billed \$2.24
2 million and -- but it's important to establish the
3 scope of that work, the breadth of that work which was,
4 you know, every single aspect of this.

5 Of the Talc Litigation, of resolution
6 strategies. It's every single aspect of it that he was
7 exposed to during that time frame.

8 THE COURT: Okay, I'm hearing it, we both
9 hear it, Judge Singh and I and I know what you're
10 doing. Just keep an eye on, you know, whatever you
11 want the Court to review, if you raise it again with
12 regard to in-camera and we'll address that at that
13 point.

14 MR. BRODY: Yep, absolutely.

15 BY MR. BRODY:

16 Q You analyzed, Mr. Conlan, the -- how to value
17 opt-outs for Johnson and Johnson?

18 MR. POLLACK: This is in Imerys?

19 MR. BRODY: Yes.

20 THE WITNESS: How to value opt-outs, people
21 who opted out under the Imerys-J and J bolt-on plan?

22 MR. POLLACK: Correct.

23 THE WITNESS: I don't recall how we would
24 value the opt-outs. As I recall, I thought the
25 approach was to just assign a dollar per claim, which